

Why giving South Africans title deeds isn't the panacea for land reform

By Simon Hull 16 Nov 2018

The land reform debate in South Africa has become increasingly polarised since Parliament resolved to consider <u>amending</u> the country's Constitution to allow for the expropriation of land without compensation.



Image source: DSC

But the <u>slow pace</u> of <u>land reform</u> – a process that aims to address the dispossession of the previously oppressed black majority – will not be solved by amending the Constitution. That's because the main problems with the country's land reform programme have nothing to do with it.

The main problem lies with the government's thinking behind land reform. It's rooted in a Western, <u>colonial</u> mindset that's totally out of step with how many would-be beneficiaries understand land.

The problem stems from the fact that indigenous systems of land ownership are not the same as the absolute ownership approach preferred by the West. Nor are they what early colonialists assumed when they adopted a <u>communal paradigm</u>, assuming that land was collectively owned by indigenous communities. This was not the case. Some land was for communal use (particularly grazing and some agricultural land), but families and individuals held exclusive use rights over other areas such as homesteads.

The legacy of this is devastating. Adherence to a communal paradigm strips people of the ability to hold land rights individually. This is unconstitutional. Yet the paradigm persists: we can see it in, for example, the <u>Communal Land Rights Act</u>, and the <u>Communal Land Tenure Policy</u>.

South Africa needs to move away from the communal paradigm that entrenches colonial and apartheid-era thinking, and move towards an approach that's better aligned to living norms and traditions.

Rejecting the communal paradigm, I prefer to refer to <u>customary land tenure</u> to describe how indigenous communities manage their land. Customary tenure systems are regulated by traditional norms and practices, within which land rights are

socially embedded. They are dynamic, multi-layered and responsive to the needs of the community. As a result, and contrary to common perception, they *can* offer secure tenure.

<u>What is required</u> is legislation to recognise and protect them, and for such legislation to be properly implemented. This, unfortunately, is not the government's approach.

Flawed thinking

The government sees customary tenure as insecure and an impediment to economic development. In terms of the <u>Green Paper on Land Reform</u>, land in South Africa may only be owned by a <u>"small elite"</u>. The <u>State Land Lease and Disposal Policy</u> (which does not provide for ownership, but allows beneficiaries of land redistribution to lease land from the state), has been criticised as showing the government's lack of faith in <u>poor black farmers</u>. And the <u>Communal Land Tenure Policy</u> seeks to transfer ownership of customary land to tribal authorities. This <u>deprives land rights-holders</u> of their land rights, rendering them subjects of the chief instead of citizens of the country. Such an approach is unequivocally unconstitutional.

Globally, individual title to land (ownership) is seen as the ultimate goal because it allows people to access the capital value of their land and promotes investment. This view is supported by both the African National Congress and the main opposition party, the <u>Democratic Alliance</u>.

Titling is seen as a sure way to lift people out of poverty. But the link between giving people title deeds to their land and poverty alleviation in Sub-Saharan Africa is <u>contested</u>.

Ownership

Titling, or the formalisation approach is <u>supported</u> by some people, while others argue <u>against</u> it. Those who oppose it warn that it could bring about greater insecurity of land tenure, especially for women and other vulnerable groups.

From <u>interviews I conducted</u> with customary land rights-holders in the Eastern Cape, the biggest fears around formalisation were:

- Having title to land is expensive because you are immediately liable for rates and taxes, and banks may seize your
 property should you default on loan repayments.
- For the poor and vulnerable, especially, this may lead to a decrease in tenure security and push them further into poverty.
- Titling also leads to a loss of tribal identity because individuals may choose to sell their lands to outsiders who do not identify with the traditions and customs of the area.

Government views formalisation through registration and title as a <u>quick fix "silver bullet" solution</u>, but it's beset with "intractable problems and conflicts".

<u>In some cases</u>, beneficiaries of land titling programmes revert to customary practices. This is partly because they don't identify with government's imposed system of ownership.

Customary tenure systems

A conservative approach is to recognise customary tenure systems that are socially embedded and that may offer more security than ownership through titling. Such recognition represents a shift away from the <u>supremacy of ownership</u> that views individual title as the be all and end all.

In South Africa, both the Interim Protection of Informal Land Rights Act and the former Land Rights Bill of 1999 adopted a conservative approach. Both documents recognised existing land rights and sought to protect and further strengthen them. But Interim Protection of Informal Land Rights Act is often overlooked, and the Bill was scrapped.

Current policies seek to undermine customary land rights-holders, allowing them only to lease land from the state or to have secondary use rights as subjects of traditional authorities. South Africa needs a new approach, one that challenges the supremacy of titling and casts off the shackles of the communal paradigm.

This article is republished from The Conversation under a Creative Commons license. Read the original article.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Smon Hull, senior lecturer, Division of Geomatics, University of Cape Town

For more, visit: https://www.bizcommunity.com